Building API: swagger, grpc, graphql What to choose, how to use, and how to survive

Daniel Podolsky, AnchorFree

Who am I

• Golang—evangelist and developer in AnchorFree.

Disclaimer

- This is a survey
- As light as possible
 - Because I hate surveys
- Not everything I'm talking about I've tried in prod
 - \circ $\,$ For the reason
- Captain Obvious says "Hi!"
 Let's read the manuals loudly!

Some theory: What is network API

- from the programming language point of view
 - $\circ~$ Some glue code
 - Some tools
 - Documentation

Some theory: What is network API

- Pull, push, poll and events
 - From client to server: easy
 - From server to client: not so easy

Some theory: REST and RPC

- CRUD
 - \circ Good
 - But not good enough
- RPC
 - \circ Flexible
 - Sometime too much flexible

Some theory: What is network API, under the hood

- Call convention
- Marshaling
- Transport

Some theory: client side

- Go
- No so Go

Some practice: OpenAPI

- AKA swagger
- Born for REST
- Request-response + JSON + HTTP 1.1 + DSL
 - + codegen/docgen
 - Yes, codegen!
 - There is more than one way to use it
 - But just one is a proper one

Some practice: OpenAPI, Tools, Go

- go-swagger
 - OpenAPI v2 only
 - Code quality is not so high
- oapi-codegen
 - OpenAPI v3, partially supported
 - Looks promising

Some practice: OpenAPI, other tools

- Swagger codegen
- Swagger editor
 - Good to have

Some practice: OpenAPI, Pros

- The standard
 - \circ I mean it
- Native support in browsers
- Documentation is excellent

Some practice: OpenAPI, Cons

- JSON is slow in Go
 Even with easyJSON
- No streams
 - $\circ~$ No pushes, no events
- HTTP-bonded
- CRUD is tough
 - $\circ~$ No way to keep your team on the CRUD way

Some practice: OpenAPI, How to survive

- As strict as possible
- Build your own RPC standard

And document it

- Always start with swagger.yml
 - I mean it
 - The only way to keep your code and doc in sync

Some practice: gRPC

- Request-response-push-events + protobuf + HTTP/2 + codegen
 - \circ Yes, codegen!
 - Self-documented
 - Or pretend to be

Some practice: gRPC, Tools, Go

• protoc

- codegen
- REST-to-gRPC reverse proxy
- grpc-ecosystem/grpc-gateway
- grpc/grpc-web
- Must have
- uber/prototool
- Some practice: gRPC, other Tools

Some practice: gRPC, Pros

- The standard
- Client-server, server-client
 - and bidirectional streams
- Reach and powerful ecosystem

Some practice: gRPC, Cons

- No streams native browser support
- No streams in non-native browser support
- Verification apart from type compatibility is on you
- HTTP/2-bonded
 - You can get some freedom
 with custom dialer

Some practice: gRPC, How to survive

- Use prototool
- Use go generate wisely
- Use protoc.cfg
- Use option go_package

I did not use it
 For the reason

- Freeeedom!!!!!11
 - The main idea is to untight frontend
 changes delivery from backend changes
 delivery
 - As in "backend is ready to provide any data available, just ask"

- Frontend oriented
- Request-response + JSON +
 HTTP 1.1 + DSL+ codegen (optional)
 - As a matter of fact GraphQL itself is transport and marshaler agnostic

Some practice: GraphQL, Tools, Go

- graphql-go
 - Runtime schema parser
- gqlgen
 Codegen

Some practice: GraphQL, Tools, Go

- graph-gophers/dataloader
 - Cache
 - Dedup
 - Optimisation
 - Nothing like this in the other API builders!

Some practice: GraphQL, other Tools

- GraphQL API Explorer
- graphql-playground

Some practice: GraphQL, Pros

- Flexible
 - \circ In all means
- Modern

Some practice: GraphQL, Cons

- Not a standard
 - \circ Yet
- No streams
- No blobs (no way to return file to browser)
- Difficult to control the performance and security on backend side
 - Bad-formed query can explode your backend. Or evil-formed...

Some practice: GraphQL, how to survive

- Actually, I do not know
 - But this is a future, so be prepared

Some practice: GraphQL, how to survive

- Try query complexity analysis
 - query depth limit
 - query cost analysis

■ No more freedom,

no more flexibility

- query whitelisting

Some practice: GraphQL, how to survive

Dataloaders

• Special thanks to Roman Sharkov

• tg: @Romshark

Some practice: Twirp

- I did not use it
- Request-response + protobuf/JSON + HTTP 1.1 + codegen
 - Codegen again
 - Self-documented
 - Or pretend to be

Some practice: Twirp, Tools

• Some of gRPC tools could be used

Some practice: Twirp, Pros

- Born for Go
- Simple
- HTTP 1.1
- Mixable with other handlers
- JS client

Some practice: Twirp, Cons

- Not a standard
- No streams
- Verification

apart from type compatibility is on you

Some practice: Twirp, how to survive

• No idea

Some practice: Vulcain

- I did not use it
- Quite exotic
 - hypermedia API
 - Could be used as a gateway on top of traditional API.
- Frontend oriented
- Request-response-events + JSON + HTTP/2

Some practice: Vulcain, Tools

• No idea

Some practice: Vulcain, Pros

- Must be effective
 - \circ Caching
 - $\circ~$ Interrupting download
- GraphQL compatibility mode
- OpenAPI compatibility mode

Some practice: Vulcain, Cons

- Not a standard
- HTTP/2 bonded

Some practice: Vulcain, how to survive

• No idea

Some practice: JSON-RPC 2.0

- Absolutely perfect
 - As a spheroidal horse
 - in the vacuum environment
- Very simple spec
 - Nothing useless
 - IMHO, nothing useful
- Request-response + JSON

Some practice: JSON-RPC 2.0, Tools, Go

net/rpc plugin(s)

Some practice: JSON-RPC 2.0, Pros

- Simple
- Flexible

Some practice: JSON-RPC 2.0, Cons

- Cons
 - Not a standard
 - Not any more
 - No streams
 - $\circ~$ No blobs (no way to return file to browser)
 - All the boilerplate except marshaling-unmarshaling is on you
 Even doc

Some practice: JSON-RPC 2.0, how to survive

- Try to avoid
- So help you God

Conclusions

- To communicate with clients, right here, right now
 - OpenAPI
 - Best validators spec
 - Best doc generator

Conclusions

- To communicate between servers
 gRPC
 - Streams

Conclusions

- For the future
 - GraphQL
 - Modern
 - Flexible

Thank you! Questions are welcome!

Daniel Podolsky daniel@djarvur.net

